

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,883	MANALIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants:

 (1) BJ Forman.

 (2) Stacy Blasburg.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 June 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5, 9, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Sparks U.S. Patent No. 6,942,169

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Amendments were discussed to more clearly define the capture ligand and channel so as to define the claims over the prior art of Sparks and to place the claims in condition for allowance. Amendments were agreed upon and are made by examiner's amendment..



**BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER**